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# Methacton <br> SCHOOL DISTRICT 

## Preface

This fifth edition of the School Data Portfolio has been developed in response to an ever-increasing need to have important information readily available as we embrace our belief that decisions are data-informed and involve participation from various stakeholders.

The Methacton School District developed the portfolio to serve as a resource for school district information. Principals, guidance counselors, and numerous other professional staff contributed the data contained in this portfolio. Data was also secured from several reports the district submits annually to the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

District administration delivered a public presentation of this booklet at a regularly scheduled board meeting. The presentation captured only select portions of the booklet and was not intended to be a complete overview of the contents.

The information contained within the portfolio, while important, comes second to the more important mission of "response." While Methacton enjoys a history of "educational excellence" only an enthusiastic "response" will ensure that the District shall prosper in its pursuit of academic achievement at the highest level.

The administration hopes the data compiled in this booklet will serve to allow the District to do what only the best districts do: React responsively to learners' needs!

Special thanks are extended to our Board of School Directors, particularly officers Joyce Petrauskas, president and Kim Woodring, vice-president, for providing the support and time to present the document and data. Thanks are also extended to all district personnel who assisted Angela Linch, Coordinator of School and Community Information, in compiling the portfolio as the Methacton School District strives for excellence.

The District keeps copies of the School Data Portfolio in each school library and in the District Office for public review.

Persons with questions or comments should contact Dr. Timothy J. Quinn, Superintendent, at 610-489-5000, ext. 15001 or tquinn@methacton.org.

## The Methacton Mission

The Methacton School District, with its strong tradition of excellence, will challenge all students to achieve their greatest potential and create a vibrant community of learners who appreciate diversity and will lead and succeed in a dynamic global society.

Learn, Lead, Succeed - Together

## The Methacton Vision

The Vision of the Methacton School District is to prepare graduates to thrive in an increasingly complex world and contribute as productive members of society.

By offering a rigorous curriculum, we will,

- Foster adaptability, courage, independence, and common decency in a dynamic world that requires selfrenewal;
- Create civic-minded individuals who practice responsible ethical and moral decision making with a broad understanding of their large-scale impact;
- Teach students how to process and prioritize mass volumes of information, balance technology with tradition and establish ambitious standards.


## Shared Values

## The Methacton School District:

- Believes that community and family are the foundation for a child's growth and development.
- Believes education is the shared responsibility of the student, school, family, and community.
- Believes high ethical standards are critical to effective citizenship.
- Believes that all students should be given equal opportunity to achieve their greatest potential.
- Believes that all students should be provided a full, balanced standards-based curriculum at all levels, supported with pedagogical strategies that best address individual learning needs.
- Believes learning is a life-long process.
- Believes that an effective education should be enriched by the arts, service, athletics and extra- curricular activities and should empower students to shape their futures.
- Believes that everyone benefits when all persons respect and appreciate diversity in a global society.
- Believes an emotionally and physically safe environment is essential for learning.
- Believes that open and honest communication promotes mutual understanding and trust.
- Believes the use of state-of-the-art and emerging technology is essential to quality education.
- Believes in providing employees with encouragement, time, resources and professional development in best practices to meet high expectations for continuous improvement.
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## STUDENT ENROLLMENT DATA

FROM OCTOBER 1 ENROLLMENT

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}-\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}-\mathbf{0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 274 | 272 | 267 | 318 | 271 | 287 | 314 | 306 | 314 | 284 |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 356 | 367 | 440 | 394 | 415 | 458 | 437 | 448 | 416 | 441 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 364 | 410 | 342 | 374 | 386 | 354 | 392 | 373 | 371 | 389 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 424 | 354 | 384 | 387 | 364 | 401 | 380 | 381 | 398 | 389 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 348 | 383 | 401 | 372 | 402 | 399 | 383 | 405 | 404 | 402 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 385 | 406 | 386 | 416 | 398 | 389 | 425 | 419 | 421 | 428 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 409 | 376 | 420 | 415 | 395 | 429 | 420 | 434 | 442 | 443 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 387 | 416 | 424 | 411 | 434 | 430 | 439 | 442 | 440 | 434 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 416 | 418 | 425 | 429 | 431 | 444 | 451 | 444 | 459 | 429 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 417 | 418 | 441 | 447 | 442 | 467 | 470 | 476 | 454 | 449 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 418 | 452 | 446 | 442 | 456 | 472 | 463 | 464 | 438 | 399 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 449 | 438 | 447 | 456 | 464 | 468 | 448 | 431 | 390 | 390 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 438 | 451 | 463 | 478 | 458 | 442 | 432 | 390 | 391 | 354 |
| Total | 5085 | 5161 | 5286 | 5339 | 5316 | 5440 | 5454 | 5413 | 5338 | 5231 |

2012-13 CLASS SIZES
ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTING

| Pupils |  | Sections | Avg. Class Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | 274 | 14 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 356 | 19 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 364 | 17 | 22 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 424 | 18 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 348 | 17 | 21 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |


|  | Pupils | Sections/Teams | Avg. Class/Team Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 388 | $17 / 5$ | $23 / 77$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 409 | $16 / 4$ | $26 / 102$ |
| Total | 797 | $33 / 9$ |  |


|  | Pupils | Teams | Avg. Core Subject <br> Class/Team Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 387 | 3 | $23 / 128$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 416 | 3 | $25 / 136$ |
| Total | 803 | 6 |  |
|     <br> $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ 1725 Core Subject Avg. Core <br> Sections |  |  |  |

## ATTENDANCE RATES

GATHERED FROM PDE 4062 REPORT*

| \% | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | 97 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 95 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 96 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 98 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 94 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 93 |

## GRADUATION RATES

FROM PDE REPORT*

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | 98.4 | 98 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 99.3 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 95.7 |

* Rates were calculated according to PDE "leaver" definition for public high school graduation rate, as referenced in section 7.1 of the PDE AYP reporting handbook.


## MHS STUDENT DROPOUTS

FROM HIGH SCHOOL GUIDANCE

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}-08$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 12 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Total | 3 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 13 |

## SPECIAL EDUCATION ENROLLMENT

FROM SPECIAL EDUCATION OFFICE*

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unduplicated Disabled | 792 | 841 | 821 | 788 | 732 | 573 | 437 | 337 |
| Unduplicated Gifted | 526 | 530 | 510 | 471 | 394 | 384 | 231 | 189 |
| Out of District (MCIU) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 33 |
| Total | 1288 | 1373 | 1336 | $\mathbf{1 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 5}$ | 559 |

*Unduplicated counts reflect students being counted in primary classification only.

## CHARTER SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

FROM SPECIAL EDUCATION OFFICE

|  | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |

## HOME SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

FROM SPECIAL EDUCATION OFFICE

|  | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |

## NORTH MONTCO TECHNICAL CAREER CENTER ENROLLMENT

FROM NMTCC STAFF

|  | 2012-13 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 | 2004-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 40 | 17 | 36 | 29 |
| 10 | 36 | 32 | 33 | 30 | 43 | 32 | 36 | 44 | 28 |
| 11 | 46 | 35 | 38 | 47 | 29 | 30 | 41 | 33 | 29 |
| 12 | 38 | 41 | 57 | 35 | 35 | 47 | 33 | 29 | 34 |
| Total | 146 | 132 | 147 | 136 | 139 | 149 | 127 | 142 | 120 |
| \% of Total Enrolled | 14\% | 12.2\% | 12.8\% | 12.4\% | 12.14\% | 14.08\% | 12.43\% | 13.56\% | 10.70\% |

GRADE LEVEL RETENTIONS
FROM PRINCIPALS*

2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2008-09 2007-08 2006-07 2005-06

| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 5 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |

*Beginning in the 2008-2009 school year, students at MHS accrue credits but move from grade level to grade level as a cohort. Retention does not occur until their senior year, if they are ineligible for graduation.

## ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED DATA*

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

| School | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowhead Elementary School | $6.7 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Audubon Elementary School | $8.1 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Eagleville Elementary School | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5} \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| Woodland Elementary School | $9.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Worcester Elementary School | $6.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Skyview Upper Elementary School | $10.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |  |
| Arcola Intermediate School | $8.2 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Methacton High School | $6.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Methacton School District | $\mathbf{8 . 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7} \%$ |
| Pennsylvania State | $\mathbf{4 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 8} \%$ |

*These percentages represent the number of enrollments from low-income families. Low-income families include those who qualify and apply for free and reduced price lunches. Not all families who qualify will apply. State average includes data from Pennsylvania public schools, area vocational-technical schools, intermediate units and charter schools only.

## ETHNIC DATA

## FROM PDE ELEMENTARY SECONDARY PUPIL ENROLLMENT DATA FORM

2012-13

| Race/Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Asian | 38 | 66 | 52 | 76 | 53 | 66 | 59 | 63 | 55 | 58 | 65 | 51 | 47 | $\mathbf{7 4 9}$ |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 4 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ |
| Hispanic | 9 | 16 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 8 | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |
| Native Hawaian/Pacific Islander | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 200 | 235 | 261 | 305 | 256 | 270 | 307 | 289 | 322 | 320 | 310 | 351 | 351 | $\mathbf{3 7 7 7}$ |
| Multi-Racial | 21 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 9 | 20 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 17 | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |

2011-12

| Race/Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Asian | 46 | 53 | 76 | 52 | 68 | 61 | 61 | 54 | 62 | 64 | 51 | 47 | 59 | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 10 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 14 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ |
| Hispanic | 10 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ |
| Native Hawaifan/Pacific Islander | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 184 | 260 | 292 | 260 | 269 | 302 | 280 | 320 | 321 | 312 | 354 | 351 | 353 | $\mathbf{3 8 5 8}$ |
| Multi-Racial | 19 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 11 | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ |

2010-11

| Race/Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 35 | 72 | 45 | 66 | 60 | 66 | 56 | 62 | 71 | 50 | 46 | 58 | 50 | $\mathbf{7 3 7}$ |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 11 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 21 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |
| Hispanic | 9 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 189 | 320 | 254 | 268 | 301 | 281 | 319 | 320 | 311 | 350 | 358 | 351 | 373 | $\mathbf{3 9 9 5}$ |
| Multi-Racial | 23 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 21 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 9 | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ |

2009-10

| Race/Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 47 | 46 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 57 | 61 | 65 | 51 | 45 | 56 | 51 | 49 | $\mathbf{7 0 9}$ |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 9 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 22 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ |
| Hispanic | 7 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 239 | 294 | 270 | 291 | 275 | 315 | 316 | 306 | 340 | 359 | 348 | 362 | 388 | $\mathbf{4 1 0 3}$ |
| Multi-Racial | 15 | 30 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ |

## ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS BY GRADE

From ELL Department

|  | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | 20 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | 15 | 23 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | 15 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 21 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | 10 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | 13 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | 25 | 26 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | 25 | 19 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ |

2012-13 ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS BY LANGUAGE

| Elementary Schools | \# of students | Upper Elementary School | \# of students | High School | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { students } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arabic | 2 | Albanian | 1 | Albanian | 1 |
| Assamese | 1 | Chinese-Mandarin | 1 | Chinese-Cantonese | 1 |
| Bengali | 1 | Haitian Creole | 1 | Chinese-Mandarin | 2 |
| Chinese-Cantonese | 1 | Indonesian | 1 | Gujarati | 1 |
| Chinese-Mandarin | 8 | Spanish | 3 | Korean | 2 |
| French | 1 | Urdu | 1 | Russian | 3 |
| Gujarati | 6 |  |  | Spanish | 5 |
| Hindi | 9 |  |  | Telugu | 1 |
| Japanese | 1 | Intermediate School | \# of students |  |  |
| Kannada | 2 | Chinese-Cantonese | 1 |  |  |
| Karen | 1 | Chinese-Mandarin | 1 |  |  |
| Khmer | 1 | Hindi | 2 |  |  |
| Korean | 12 | Italian | 1 |  |  |
| Marathi | 1 | Japanese | 2 |  |  |
| Polish | 1 | Korean | 1 |  |  |
| Russian | 1 | Spanish | 3 |  |  |
| Somali | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Spanish | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Tamil | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Vietnamese | 3 |  |  |  |  |

## ATHLETIC PARTICIPATION

FROM ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT

| Fall Sports | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}-09$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Football |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 57 | 60 | 63 | 52 | 45 | 53 |
| Ninth Grade | 37 | 41 | 40 | 58 | 22 | 37 |
| Middle School | 49 | 46 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 38 |
| Cheerleading |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 27 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 39 | 20 |
| Middle School | 22 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| Boys' Cross-Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 27 | 36 | 35 | 36 | 24 | 32 |
| Middle School | 29 | 21 | 31 | 23 | 24 | 16 |
| Girls' Cross-Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 23 | 29 | 31 | 38 | 44 | 39 |
| Middle School | 15 | 27 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 18 |
| Field Hockey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 28 | 34 | 32 | 38 | 28 | 26 |
| Ninth Grade | 22 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| Middle School | 39 | 31 | 43 | 35 | 51 | 65 |
| Golf |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 13 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| Boys' Soccer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 34 | 42 | 42 | 37 | 41 | 42 |
| Ninth Grade |  | 22 | 22 | 19 | 23 | 19 |
| Middle School | 51 | 54 | 47 | 56 | 56 | 68 |
| Girls' Soccer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 35 | 36 | 32 | 35 | 36 | 31 |
| Middle School | 39 | 31 | 48 | 38 | 39 | 51 |
| Girls' Tennis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 31 | 35 | 28 | 21 | 26 | 28 |
| Middle School | 41 | 49 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 36 |
| Girls' Volleyball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 23 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 21 |


| Winter Sports | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys' Basketball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 22 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| Ninth Grade | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Middle School | 26 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Girls' Basketball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 16 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 19 |
| Ninth Grade | 10 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 13 |
| Middle School | 24 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 28 |
| Boys' Indoor Track |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 37 | 33 | 40 | 58 | 47 | 40 |
| Girls' Indoor Track |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 46 | 48 | 49 | 41 | 53 | 47 |
| Boys' Swimming and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 20 | 22 | 28 | 37 | 34 | 29 |
| Girls' Swimming and <br> Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 21 | 26 | 31 | 32 | 38 | 30 |
| Wrestling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 35 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 20 |
| Middle School | 35 | 29 | 43 | 37 | 33 | 25 |
| Cheerleading |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity and Junior Varsity | 27 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 39 | 40 |
| Middle School | 17 | 22 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 22 |


| Spring Sports | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $2006-07$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 37 |
| Ninth Grade | 17 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| Middle School | 19 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 17 |
| Boys' Lacrosse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 46 | 48 | 49 | 54 | 46 | 50 |
| Girls' Lacrosse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 41 | 38 | 36 | 40 | 38 | 35 |
| Ninth Grade | 18 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 17 |
| Middle School | 41 | 45 | 39 | 36 | 50 | 58 |
| Softball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 24 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 27 |
| Ninth Grade | 0 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 19 |
| Middle School | 21 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Boys' Tennis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 28 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 28 | 25 |
| Middle School | 44 | 30 | 50 | 57 | 31 | 30 |
| Boys' Track and Field |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 59 | 67 | 59 | 65 | 83 | 56 |
| Middle School | 92 | 80 | 87 | 89 | 92 | 92 |
| Girls' Track and Field |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varsity \& Junior Varsity | 65 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 57 | 68 |
| Middle School | 89 | 101 | 88 | 69 | 72 | 75 |

## MUSIC PARTICIPATION

FROM MUSIC DEPARTMENT

| Ensemble | 2012-13 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 53 | 50 | 56 | 60 | 70 | 84 | 85 |
| Arcola | 101 | 90 | 67 | 113 | 86 | 87 | 97 |
| Skyview -6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 88 | 84 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| Skyview - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 110 | 137 | 120 |  |  |  |  |
| Chorus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 85 | 103 | 104 | 119 | 122 | 135 | 152 |
| Arcola | 88 | 100 | 125 | 211 | 175 | 182 | 201 |
| Skyview -6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 115 | 100 | 126 |  |  |  |  |
| Skyview - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 120 | 125 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Chorale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 69 | 68 | 64 | 59 | 66 | 60 | 51 |
| Orchestra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 57 | 55 | 55 | 49 | 49 | 68 | 78 |
| Arcola | 82 | 74 | 68 | 68 | 102 | 88 | 113 |
| Skyview $-6{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 55 | 62 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Skyview - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 89 | 66 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| Marching Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 61 | 54 | 53 | 43 | 55 | 55 | 52 |
| Jazz Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School | 21 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 19 |

MUSIC PARTICIPATION
FROM MUSIC DEPARTMENT

| Elementary Ensembles | 2011-12 | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arrowhead | 42 | 40 | 38 | 59 | 57 | 47 | 43 |
| Audubon | 38 | 42 | 36 | 79 | 53 | 37 | 44 |
| Eagleville | 27 | 20 | 29 | 57 | 39 | 32 | 42 |
| Woodland | 18 | 29 | 34 | 43 | 39 | 25 | 29 |
| Worcester | 29 | 27 | 36 | 45 | 49 | 23 | 22 |
| Chorus - Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arrowhead | 30 | 50 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Audubon | 50 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 58 | 61 | 40 |
| Eagleville | 24 | 20 | 30 | 25 | 22 |  |  |
| Woodland | 40 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 42 | 25 | 50 |
| Woodland Handbell Choir | 20 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| Worcester |  | 20 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Chorus - Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arrowhead | 28 | 35 | 23 | 52 | 68 | 77 | 72 |
| Audubon | 55 | 53 | 60 | 129 | 138 | 113 | 154 |
| Eagleville | 21 | 20 | 35 | 44 | 44 | 37 | 40 |
| Woodland | 29 | 50 | 30 | 65 | 98 | 81 | 88 |
| Worcester | 16 | 19 | 30 | 50 | 44 | 40 | 61 |
| Orchestra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arrowhead | 78 | 71 | 53 | 77 | 84 | 94 | 78 |
| Audubon | 88 | 86 | 83 | 122 | 110 | 110 | 98 |
| Eagleville | 77 | 66 | 66 | 73 | 64 | 56 | 62 |
| Woodland | 58 | 59 | 52 | 66 | 56 | 49 | 55 |
| Worcester | 103 | 65 | 64 | 74 | 72 | 73 | 78 |

## LIBRARY USAGE DATA

FROM LIBRARIANS

| 2011-2012 | Elementary Schools | Intermediate School | High School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulation | 126,900 | 4,764 | 2,725 |
| Current Titles | 114,980 | 23,139 | 27,810 |
| Online Databases | 9 | 9 | 13 |
| Books Per Student | 46.5 | 29.0 | 16.0 |

## METHACTON INTERVENTION AND PREVENTION PROGRAM BY GRADE <br> FROM SCHOOL COUNSELING DEPARTMENT

| Number of Referrals | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |  | 9 | 10 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 16 | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  | 6 | 5 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 35 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 6 | 3 | 4 | 22 | 23 | 27 | 27 | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | 5 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 29 | 23 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | 11 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 9 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | 10 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 23 | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | 5 | 10 | 6 | 26 | 27 | 40 | 30 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |

## METHACTON INTERVENTION AND PREVENTION PROGRAM BY REASON FOR REFERRAL

FROM SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION

|  | Intermediate School |  |  | High School |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason for Referral | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| Drug \& Alcohol Related | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 2 |
| Violence Weapon Related | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| School Policy Violation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Suspected Child Abuse/Neglect | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Behavioral Concerns | 12 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 63 | 49 |
| Academic Concerns | 5 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 13 |
| Drop in Grades | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| Attendance | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Social Concerns | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Suicide Ideation, Gesture, or Attempt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Self-Reported Problem | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Suffered Recent Loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Homelessness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Witness/Victim of Trauma Event | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Involvement in Legal System | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Continuation of SAP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Re-entry Into School | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 53 | 30 |
| Gender Identity Issues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Self Harm/Injury | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 11 |
| Teen Parenting/Pregnancy | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Bullying | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 10 |  |
| Smoking/Tobacco Use - Self Referral | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| Smoking/Tobacco Use - Policy Violation | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Smoking/Tobacco Use - Other Referral | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 |  |
| Nutritional/Exercise/Body Image | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## PLANS FOR CLASS OF 2012

FROM HIGH SCHOOL COUNSELING DEPARTMENT

|  | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| Community College | 89 |
| Private 2-Year College | 0 |
| State University | 69 |
| State-Related Commonwealth University | 83 |
| Private 4-Year College or University | 68 |
| Other Post-secondary school | 4 |
| Other non-degree post-secondary school | 0 |
| Outside of PA - 2-Year College | 2 |
| Outside of PA - 4-Year College or University | 90 |
| Outside of PA - Other Post-secondary school | 0 |
| Farm Worker | 1 |
| Homemaker | 0 |
| Military | 11 |
| White Collar Worker | 3 |
| Blue Collar Worker | 9 |
| Service Worker | 2 |
| Unemployed | 0 |
| Unknown | 18 |

RECENT GRADUATING CLASSES
FROM HIGH SCHOOL COUNSELING DEPARTMENT

|  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community College | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| PA State Universities | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| PA State Related Universities | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Four Year Private PA Colleges | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Four Year Colleges (Outside PA) | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Private Two Year Schools | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other Schools | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Armed Services | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Employment | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

COLLEGES ACCEPTING MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 2012 \& COLLEGES ATTENDED BY MEMBERS OF THE CLASS OF 2012

FROM HIGH SCHOOL COUNSELING DEPARTMENT

| Albright College | Number of <br> Students <br> Accepted | Number of <br> Students <br> Attending |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Allegheny College | 2 | 0 |
| Alvernia University | 2 | 0 |
| American University | 5 | 2 |
| Appalachian State University | 10 | 1 |
| Arcadia University | 17 | 0 |
| Arizona State University | 3 | 3 |
| Auburn University | 1 | 1 |
| Bennington College | 1 | 0 |
| Bentley University | 1 | 1 |
| Berklee College of Music | 2 | 0 |
| Binghamton University | 1 | 0 |
| Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania | 69 | 0 |
| Boston College | 2 | 18 |
| Boston University | 13 | 0 |
| Brandeis University | 1 | 1 |
| Bridgewater College | 1 | 0 |
| Brigham Young University, Idaho | 1 | 0 |
| Brookdale Community College | 1 | 0 |
| Bryant University | 1 | 1 |
| Cabrini College | 2 | 0 |
| California University of Pennsylvania | 6 | 0 |
| Campbell University | 2 | 1 |
| Canisius College | 1 | 2 |
| Carnegie Mellon University | 1 | 0 |
| Case Western Reserve University | 5 | 3 |
| Catawba College | 1 | 1 |
| Cazenovia College | 1 | 1 |
| Centenary College | 1 | 1 |
| Central Penn College | 1 | 0 |
| Champlain College | 1 | 0 |
| Chatham University | 1 | 0 |
| Chestnut Hill College | 1 | 1 |
| Christopher Newport University | 1 | 0 |
| City University of New York | 1 | 0 |
| Clark University | 1 | 0 |
| Clarkson University | 1 | 0 |
| Clemson University | 1 | 0 |
| Coastal Carolina University | 1 | 0 |
| College of Charleston | 1 | 0 |
| College of William and Mary | 1 | 0 |
| Columbia University | 1 | 0 |
| Cornell University | 1 | 0 |
| Dartmouth College | 1 | 0 |
| Delaware Valley College | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 1 |


|  | Number of Students Accepted | Number of Students Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DePaul University | 1 | 0 |
| DeSales University | 4 | 0 |
| DeVry University | 1 | 0 |
| Dixie College | 1 | 1 |
| Drew University | 2 | 0 |
| Drexel University | 72 | 14 |
| Duke University | 2 | 1 |
| Duquesne University | 4 | 1 |
| East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania | 22 | 2 |
| Eastern University | 1 | 1 |
| Eckerd College | 1 | 0 |
| Elizabethtown College | 4 | 0 |
| Elmhurst College | 1 | 0 |
| Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University - FL | 1 | 0 |
| Emerson College | 3 | 0 |
| Emory University | 2 | 2 |
| Fairfield University | 1 | 1 |
| Fairleigh Dickinson University | 1 | 0 |
| Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison | 1 | 0 |
| Flagler College | 1 | 1 |
| Florida State University | 1 | 0 |
| Fordham University | 8 | 0 |
| Franklin and Marshall College | 2 | 1 |
| Furman University | 1 | 0 |
| Geneva College | 1 | 0 |
| George Mason University | 1 | 0 |
| Georgia Institute of Technology | 2 | 0 |
| Gettysburg College | 4 | 0 |
| Gordon College | 1 | 0 |
| Goucher College | 1 | 0 |
| Grove City College | 2 | 0 |
| Gwynedd-Mercy College | 7 | 4 |
| Hampshire College | 1 | 0 |
| Hartwick College | 1 | 0 |
| Hawaii Pacific University | 1 | 0 |
| Hofstra University | 3 | 0 |
| Hood College | 1 | 1 |
| Houghton College | 1 | 0 |
| Illinois Institute of Technology | 1 | 0 |
| Immaculata University | 1 | 0 |
| Indiana University at Bloomington | 4 | 2 |
| Indiana University of Pennsylvania | 26 | 2 |
| Ithaca College | 10 | 4 |
| Jacksonville University | 2 | 0 |
| James Madison University | 12 | 3 |
| Johns Hopkins University | 3 | 1 |
| Johnson \& Wales University | 4 | 2 |
| Juniata College | 1 | 0 |
| Kettering University | 1 | 0 |


|  | Number of Students Accepted | Number of Students Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King's College | 1 | 1 |
| Kutztown University of Pennsylvania | 34 | 7 |
| La Salle University | 5 | 1 |
| Lake Erie College | 1 | 0 |
| Lebanon Valley College | 3 | 0 |
| Lehigh University | 5 | 2 |
| Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania | 7 | 0 |
| Longwood University | 2 | 1 |
| Loyola University Chicago | 2 | 1 |
| Loyola University Maryland | 4 | 0 |
| Lycoming College | 2 | 1 |
| Lynchburg College | 1 | 0 |
| Mansfield University of Pennsylvania | 3 | 0 |
| Marist College | 1 | 1 |
| Mary Baldwin College | 1 | 0 |
| Marywood University | 4 | 0 |
| Massachusetts College of Pharmacy \& Health Sciences | 1 | 0 |
| McDaniel College | 2 | 0 |
| McGill University | 1 | 1 |
| Mercyhurst University | 2 | 2 |
| Messiah College | 2 | 1 |
| Michigan State University | 1 | 1 |
| Millersville University of Pennsylvania | 26 | 6 |
| Misericordia University | 3 | 0 |
| Montgomery County Community College | 80 | 75 |
| Montgomery County Community College - Pottstown | 1 | 1 |
| Moore College of Art and Design | 1 | 0 |
| Moravian College | 9 | 5 |
| Muhlenberg College | 1 | 1 |
| NCAA Eligibility Center | 6 | 0 |
| Neumann University | 1 | 0 |
| New Jersey Institute of Technology | 1 | 0 |
| New York University | 7 | 3 |
| North Carolina State University | 1 | 1 |
| North Montco Technical Career Center | 1 | 0 |
| Northeastern University | 11 | 4 |
| Northern Illinois University | 1 | 0 |
| Northern Virginia Community College - Manassas Campus | 1 | 1 |
| Northwestern University | 1 | 0 |
| Old Dominion University | 1 | 0 |
| Parsons The New School for Design | 1 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania College of Technology | 3 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania State University - World Campus | 1 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Abington | 6 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Altoona | 14 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Berks College | 11 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Harrisburg | 2 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Mont Alto | 1 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania State University, Schreyer Honors College | 2 | 1 |


|  |  | Number of Students Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania State University, Schuylkill | 1 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania State University, University Park | 73 | 18 |
| Philadelphia University | 4 | 2 |
| Point Park University | 1 | 0 |
| Polytechnic Institute of NYU | 1 | 0 |
| Purchase College | 1 | 0 |
| Purdue University | 2 | 0 |
| Quinnipiac University | 4 | 1 |
| Radford University | 2 | 0 |
| Regent University | 1 | 0 |
| Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute | 3 | 0 |
| Rhodes College | 1 | 0 |
| Rice University | 1 | 0 |
| Rider University | 1 | 0 |
| Roanoke College | 2 | 1 |
| Robert Morris University | 5 | 0 |
| Rochester Institute of Technology | 6 | 3 |
| Roger Williams University | 1 | 0 |
| Rowan University | 1 | 0 |
| Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey at New Brunswick | 3 | 0 |
| Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey at Newark | 1 | 0 |
| Sacred Heart University | 1 | 0 |
| Saint Joseph's University | 14 | 4 |
| Saint Vincent College | 1 | 0 |
| Salisbury University | 1 | 0 |
| San Jose State University | 1 | 0 |
| Sarah Lawrence College | 1 | 0 |
| Savannah College of Art and Design | 3 | 0 |
| School of Visual Arts | 1 | 1 |
| Seton Hall University | 1 | 0 |
| Seton Hill University | 1 | 0 |
| Shenandoah University | 2 | 0 |
| Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania | 29 | 7 |
| Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania | 3 | 3 |
| Southwestern University | 1 | 1 |
| St. John's University - Queens Campus | 4 | 0 |
| State University of New York at New Paltz | 1 | 0 |
| Stetson University | 1 | 0 |
| Stevens Institute of Technology | 2 | 2 |
| Stonehill College | 1 | 0 |
| Stony Brook University | 2 | 0 |
| SUNY College at Cobleskill | 1 | 1 |
| SUNY Morrisville State College | 1 | 0 |
| Susquehanna University | 6 | 1 |
| Syracuse University | 6 | 3 |
| Temple University | 66 | 30 |
| Temple University-Ambler | 1 | 0 |
| Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology | 2 | 2 |
| The Catholic University of America | 3 | 0 |


|  | Number of Students Accepted | Number of Students Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The College of New Jersey | 2 | 2 |
| The Culinary Institute of America | 1 | 0 |
| The George Washington University | 6 | 2 |
| The Hartt School, University of Hartford | 1 | 0 |
| The Ohio State University | 1 | 1 |
| The Restaurant School at Walnut Hill College | 1 | 0 |
| The University of Alabama | 4 | 3 |
| The University of Arizona | 1 | 0 |
| The University of Findlay | 2 | 2 |
| The University of Iowa | 1 | 0 |
| The University of Scranton | 8 | 1 |
| The University of Tampa | 2 | 0 |
| The University of Texas, Austin | 1 | 0 |
| The University of the Arts | 1 | 1 |
| Towson University | 10 | 1 |
| Trinity University | 1 | 0 |
| University of California at Los Angeles | 1 | 0 |
| University of California at San Diego | 1 | 1 |
| University of Central Florida | 1 | 0 |
| University of Chicago | 1 | 0 |
| University of Connecticut | 1 | 1 |
| University of Dayton | 1 | 0 |
| University of Delaware | 31 | 3 |
| University of Hartford | 3 | 0 |
| University of Illinois at Chicago | 2 | 0 |
| University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign | 1 | 0 |
| University of Maryland, Baltimore County | 1 | 0 |
| University of Maryland, College Park | 16 | 1 |
| University of Massachusetts, Amherst | 4 | 0 |
| University of Massachusetts, Boston | 1 | 0 |
| University of Miami | 7 | 1 |
| University of Michigan | 2 | 0 |
| University of Missouri, Kansas City | 1 | 0 |
| University of New Hampshire | 1 | 1 |
| University of New Haven | 4 | 0 |
| University of North Carolina at Charlotte | 1 | 0 |
| University of North Carolina at Wilmington | 1 | 1 |
| University of Notre Dame | 1 | 0 |
| University of Pennsylvania | 4 | 2 |
| University of Phoenix-Philadelphia Campus -Wayne | 1 | 1 |
| University of Pittsburgh | 71 | 16 |
| University of Pittsburgh at Bradford | 1 | 0 |
| University of Pittsburgh at Johnstown | 1 | 1 |
| University of Pittsburgh at Titusville | 1 | 0 |
| University of Rhode Island | 2 | 1 |
| University of Richmond | 2 | 0 |
| University of Rochester | 5 | 0 |
| University of South Carolina | 1 | 1 |
| University of the Sciences in Philadelphia | 9 | 2 |


|  | Number of <br> Students <br> Accepted | Number of <br> Students <br> Attending |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| University of Vermont | 4 | 0 |
| Ursinus College | 9 | 2 |
| Valley Forge Christian College | 1 | 1 |
| Vanderbilt University | 1 | 1 |
| Vermont Technical College | 1 | 0 |
| Villanova University | 5 | 0 |
| Virginia Commonwealth University | 3 | 0 |
| Virginia Military Institute | 2 | 1 |
| Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University | 3 | 1 |
| Virginia Wesleyan College | 1 | 0 |
| Wagner College | 1 | 1 |
| Wake Forest University | 1 | 0 |
| Washington and Jefferson College | 2 | 0 |
| Washington College | 1 | 0 |
| Washington University in St. Louis | 1 | 0 |
| Wells College | 1 | 0 |
| Wentworth Institute of Technology | 1 | 0 |
| West Chester University of Pennsylvania | 52 | 22 |
| West Virginia University | 8 | 6 |
| Western New England University | 1 | 0 |
| Widener University | 13 | 5 |
| Wilkes University | 1 | 0 |
| William Paterson University of New Jersey | 19 | 0 |
| Xavier University | 2 | 0 |
| York College of Pennsylvania | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 0 |

Figures represent the acceptances and attendance plans of our student per their report to the school counseling office at the high school. Not all students share this information.

## 2011-12 PSSA SCORES

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


2010-11 PSSA SCORES
FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


## 2009-2010 PSSA SCORES

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


## 2008-2009 PSSA SCORES

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


## 2007-2008 PSSA SCORES

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

| Third Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Third Gr | rade-MATH | MSD | STATE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 36 | 20 |  | Advanced | 63 | 43 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 56 | 57 |  | Proficient | 31 | 38 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 4 | 11 |  | Basic | 4 | 14 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 4 | 12 |  | Below Basic | 2 | 6 |  |
| Fourth Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Fourth Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 59 | 34 | \% Advanced |  | 74 | 50 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 32 | 36 | \% Proficient |  | 21 | 30 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 6 | 16 | \% Basic |  | 2 | 8 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 3 | 14 | \% Below Basic |  | 3 | 12 |  |
| Fifth Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Fifth Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 44 | 24 | \% Advanced |  | 73 | 46 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 39 | 38 | \% Proficient |  | 19 | 28 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 11 | 20 | \% Basic |  | 6 | 16 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 5 | 19 | \% Below Basic |  | 3 | 10 |  |
| Sixth Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Sixth Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 52 | 32 | \% Advanced |  | 66 | 47 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 33 | 35 | \% Proficient |  | 23 | 26 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 9 | 18 | \% Basic |  | 5 | 14 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 6 | 15 | \% Below Basic |  | 6 | 14 |  |
| Seventh Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Seventh Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 56 | 36 | \% Advanced |  | 54 | 43 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 30 | 34 | \% Proficient |  | 27 | 27 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 9 | 16 | \% Basic |  | 9 | 14 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 5 | 14 | \% Below Basic |  | 10 | 15 |  |
| Eighth Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Eighth Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 76 | 54 | \% Advanced |  | 65 | 43 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 16 | 24 | \% Proficient |  | 23 | 28 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 5 | 10 | \% Basic |  | 7 | 14 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 3 | 12 | \% Below Basic |  | 5 | 16 |  |
| Eleventh Grade-READING |  |  | MSD | STATE | Eleventh Grade-MATH |  | MSD | STATE |  |
| \% Advanced |  |  | 45 | 32 | \% Advanced |  | 43 | 26 |  |
| \% Proficient |  |  | 31 | 33 | \% Proficient |  | 30 | 30 |  |
| \% Basic |  |  | 13 | 16 | \% Basic |  | 13 | 18 |  |
| \% Below Basic |  |  | 11 | 19 | \% Below Basic |  | 14 | 27 |  |
| Fifth Grade-WRITING MSD STATE |  |  | Eighth Grade-WRITING |  | MSD STATE |  | Eleventh Grade-WRITING | G MSD | STATE |
| \% Advanced | 11 | 5 |  | \% Advanced | 5 | 2 | \% Advanced | 16 | 14 |
| \% Proficient | 76 | 53 |  | \% Proficient | 84 | 67 | \% Proficient | 76 | 72 |
| \% Basic | 13 | 42 |  | \% Basic | 12 | 28 | \% Basic | 8 | 13 |
| \% Below Basic | 0 | 1 |  | \% Below Basic | 0 | 3 | \% Below Basic | 0 | 1 |

## 2006-2007 PSSA SCORES

FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

| Third Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 37 | 22 |
| \% Proficient | 54 | 51 |
| \% Basic | 5 | 12 |
| \% Below Basic | 5 | 15 |
| Fourth Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| \% Advanced | 53 | 32 |
| \% Proficient | 38 | 38 |
| \% Basic | 6 | 15 |
| \% Below Basic | 3 | 15 |


| Fifth Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 36 | 21 |
| \% Proficient | 44 | 39 |
| \% Basic | 11 | 20 |
| \% Below Basic | 8 | 20 |


| Sixth Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 48 | 31 |
| \% Proficient | 36 | 33 |
| \% Basic | 11 | 20 |
| \% Below Basic | 6 | 17 |


| Seventh Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 57 | 37 |
| \% Proficient | 29 | 30 |
| \% Basic | 9 | 17 |
| \% Below Basic | 5 | 16 |


| Eighth Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 74 | 46 |
| \% Proficient | 20 | 29 |
| \% Basic | 4 | 13 |
| \% Below Basic | 2 | 12 |


| Eleventh Grade-READING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 46 | 29 |
| \% Proficient | 37 | 37 |
| \% Basic | 10 | 15 |
| \% Below Basic | 7 | 19 |


| Third Grade-MATH | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 55 | 34 |
| \% Proficient | 38 | 44 |
| \% Basic | 5 | 15 |
| \% Below Basic | 2 | 6 |
| Fourth Grade-MATH | MSD | STATE |
| \% Advanced | 74 | 47 |
| \% Proficient | 21 | 31 |
| \% Basic | 2 | 9 |
| \% Below Basic | 3 | 13 |


| Fifth Grade-MATH | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 68 | 42 |
| \% Proficient | 22 | 30 |
| \% Basic | 7 | 17 |
| \% Below Basic | 3 | 12 |


| Sixth Grade-MATH | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 53 | 40 |
| \% Proficient | 32 | 30 |
| \% Basic | 9 | 16 |
| \% Below Basic | 6 | 14 |


| Seventh Grade-MATH |  | MSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 54 | 41 |
| \% Proficient | 30 | 26 |
| \% Basic | 10 | 15 |
| \% Below Basic | 6 | 18 |


| Eighth Grade-MATH | MSD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE |  |  |
| \% Advanced | 63 | 40 |
| \% Proficient | 25 | 28 |
| \% Basic | 7 | 15 |
| \% Below Basic | 5 | 17 |


| Eleventh Grade-MATH |  | MSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 45 | 24 |
| \% Proficient | 30 | 30 |
| \% Basic | 14 | 20 |
| \% Below Basic | 11 | 27 |


| Fifth Grade-WRITING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 3 | 1 |
| \% Proficient | 78 | 56 |
| \% Basic | 17 | 42 |
| \% Below Basic | 0 | 1 |


| Eighth Grade-WRITING | MSD | STATE | Eleventh Grade-WRITING | MSD | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Advanced | 19 | 11 | \% Advanced | 10 | 8 |
| \% Proficient | 72 | 61 | \% Proficient | 83 | 80 |
| \% Basic | 9 | 26 | \% Basic | 7 | 11 |
| \% Below Basic | 0 | 3 | \% Below Basic | 0 | 1 |

## 2012-13 GRADE 2 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 4 |  | 6.2 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 12 |  | 18.5 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 28 |  | 43.1 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 17 |  | 26.2 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 2 |  | 3.1 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 2 |  | 3.1 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 6 |  | 7.5 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 16 |  | 20.0 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 34 |  | 42.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 13 |  | 16.3 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 4 |  | 5.0 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 5 |  | 6.3 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 2 |  | 2.5 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 3 |  | 4.8 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 16 |  | 25.4 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 25 |  | 39.7 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 14 |  | 22.2 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 3 |  | 4.8 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 1 |  | 1.6 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 1 |  | 1.6 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group,
www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2012-13 GRADE 3 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 10 |  | 13.7 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 21 |  | 28.8 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 20 |  | 27.4 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 14 |  | 19.2 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 3 |  | 4.1 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 3 |  | 3.4 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 20 |  | 22.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 26 |  | 29.2 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 23 |  | 25.8 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 13 |  | 14.6 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 4 |  | 4.5 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Eagleville Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 2 |  | 2.7 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 3 |  | 4.0 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 17 |  | 22.7 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 24 |  | 32.0 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 14 |  | 18.7 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 13 |  | 17.3 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 1 |  | 1.3 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 |  | 1.3 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Worcester Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 5 |  | 4.5 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 24 |  | 21.4 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 29 |  | 25.9 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 33 |  | 29.5 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 12 |  | 10.7 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 8 |  | 7.1 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 1 |  | 0.9 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 2 |  | 2.7 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 5 |  | 6.8 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 12 |  | 16.2 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 20 |  | 27.0 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 14 |  | 18.9 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 16 |  | 21.6 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 3 |  | 4.1 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 2 |  | 2.7 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test. (Pearson Educational Measurement Group, www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2012-13 GRADE 4 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 2 |  | 2.9 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 5 |  | 7.2 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 8 |  | 11.6 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 10 |  | 14.5 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 24 |  | 34.8 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 15 |  | 21.7 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 2 |  | 2.9 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 |  | 1.4 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 2 |  | 2.9 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 2 |  | 2.5 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 5 |  | 6.3 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 14 |  | 17.7 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 15 |  | 19.0 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 19 |  | 24.1 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 14 |  | 17.7 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 7 |  | 8.9 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 2 |  | 2.5 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 1 |  | 1.3 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Eagleville Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 3 |  | 4.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 13 |  | 19.4 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 13 |  | 19.4 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 23 |  | 34.3 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 7 |  | 10.4 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 6 |  | 9.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 |  | 1.5 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 1 |  | 1.5 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |


| Worcester Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 1.2 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 |  | 4.9 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 10 |  | 12.3 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 18 |  | 22.2 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 29 |  | 35.8 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 11 |  | 13.6 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 2 |  | 2.5 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 3 |  | 3.7 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 1 |  | 1.2 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 2 |  | 2.5 |  |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |  |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 1.8 |  |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 2 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 13 |  | 22.8 |  |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 12 |  | 21.1 |  |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 15 |  | 26.3 |  |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 8 |  | 14.0 |  |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 3 |  | 5.3 |  |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 |  | 1.8 |  |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 2 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group,
www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2012-13 GRADES 5-8 STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for students in grades five through eight assessed in the fall at Skyview and Arcola. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of Methacton's fifth through eighth graders performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the Spring assessments.

| Skyview Upper Elementary School - Grade Five |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 0.3 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 17 |  | 4.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 23 |  | 6.0 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 52 |  | 13.6 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 77 |  | 20.2 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 88 |  | 23.0 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 46 |  | 12.0 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 46 |  | 12.0 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 12 |  | 3.1 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 5 |  | 1.3 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 1 |  | 0.3 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 4 |  | 3.7 |  |


| Skyview Upper Elementary School - Grade Six |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 1 |  | 0.3 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 0.3 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 6 |  | 1.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 14 |  | 3.5 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 23 |  | 5.8 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 49 |  | 12.3 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 78 |  | 19.5 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 63 |  | 15.8 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 67 |  | 16.8 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 31 |  | 7.8 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 14 |  | 3.5 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 11 |  | 2.8 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 41 |  | 10.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Arcola Intermediate School - Grade Seven |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 |  | 0.3 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 2 |  | 0.5 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 9 |  | 2.4 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 8 |  | 2.1 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 19 |  | 5.0 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 45 |  | 11.8 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 53 |  | 13.9 |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 75 |  | 19.7 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 39 |  | 10.2 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 23 |  | 6.0 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 16 |  | 4.2 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 91 |  | 23.9 |  |


| Arcola Intermediate School - Grade Eight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 7 |  | 1.7 |  |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 4 |  | 1.0 |  |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 4 |  | 1.0 |  |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 13 |  | 3.2 |  |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 28 |  | 6.9 |  |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 24 |  | 5.9. |  |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 48 |  | 11.8 |  |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 53 |  | 13.0 |  |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 28 |  | 6.9 |  |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 22 |  | 5.4 |  |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 176 |  | 43.2 |  |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group, www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2011-12 GRADE 2 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 9 | 1 | 12.2 | 1.4 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 36 | 13 | 48.6 | 17.6 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 14 | 25 | 18.9 | 33.8 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 10 | 18 | 13.5 | 24.3 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 2 | 11 | 2.7 | 14.9 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 1 | 4 | 1.4 | 5.4 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 2 | 1 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 15 | 7 | 16.7 | 8.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 29 | 12 | 32.2 | 13.8 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 29 | 30 | 32.2 | 34.5 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 12 | 18 | 13.3 | 20.7 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 1 | 15 | 1.1 | 17.2 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 2 | 3 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Eagleville Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 5 | 1 | 7.5 | 1.5 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 10 | 4 | 14.9 | 6.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 36 | 15 | 53.7 | 22.4 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 12 | 26 | 17.9 | 38.8 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 4 | 13 | 6.0 | 19.4 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 0 | 7 | 0.0 | 10.4 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |


| Worcester Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 20 | 4 | 18.5 | 3.6 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 46 | 17 | 42.6 | 15.5 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 32 | 50 | 29.6 | 45.5 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 7 | 22 | 6.5 | 20.0 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 2 | 14 | 1.9 | 12.7 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 2 | 1 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 11 | 3 | 15.9 | 4.4 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 28 | 14 | 40.6 | 20.6 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 19 | 23 | 27.5 | 33.8 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 7 | 10 | 10.1 | 14.7 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 1 | 14 | 1.4 | 20.6 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 1 | 3 | 1.4 | 4.4 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group,
www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2011-12 GRADE 3 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 10 | 3 | 14.7 | 4.5 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 17 | 8 | 25.0 | 12.1 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 23 | 18 | 33.8 | 27.3 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 12 | 21 | 17.6 | 31.8 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 4 | 12 | 5.9 | 18.2 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 16 | 1 | 19.3 | 1.2 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 23 | 14 | 27.7 | 16.7 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 22 | 22 | 26.5 | 26.2 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 14 | 26 | 16.9 | 31.0 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 5 | 12 | 6.0 | 14.3 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 6.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 2 | 1 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Eagleville Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 12 | 5 | 18.8 | 7.4 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 22 | 11 | 34.4 | 16.2 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 19 | 254 | 29.7 | 35.3 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 9 | 15 | 14.1 | 22.1 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 1 | 13 | 1.6 | 19.1 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |


| Worcester Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 3 | 1 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 10 | 2 | 12.7 | 2.5 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 30 | 16 | 38.0 | 20.3 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 20 | 20 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 12 | 27 | 15.2 | 34.2 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 3 | 8 | 3.8 | 10.1 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 1 | 2 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.3 |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 4 | 1 | 6.8 | 1.7 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 14 | 3 | 23.7 | 5.1 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 13 | 11 | 22.0 | 18.6 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 9 | 17 | 15.3 | 28.8 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 14 | 9 | 23.7 | 15.3 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 3 | 12 | 5.1 | 20.3 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 1 | 2 | 1.7 | 3.4 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.7 |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group,
www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2011-12 GRADE 4 - STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for second graders in assessed in the fall at each of Methacton's five elementary schools. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of that school's second grade performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the spring assessments.

| Arrowhead Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 4 | 0 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 | 4 | 5.8 | 6.1 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 7 | 9 | 10.1 | 13.6 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 20 | 6 | 29.0 | 9.1 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 17 | 15 | 24.6 | 22.7 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 9 | 14 | 13.0 | 21.2 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 5 | 7 | 7.2 | 10.6 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 | 6 | 1.4 | 9.1 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 2 | 4 | 2.9 | 6.1 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.5 |


| Audubon Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 2 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 3 | 2 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 10 | 5 | 11.4 | 5.7 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 23 | 17 | 26.1 | 19.3 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 27 | 21 | 30.7 | 23.9 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 10 | 16 | 11.4 | 18.2 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 3 | 7 | 3.4 | 8.0 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 6 | 12 | 6.8 | 13.6 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 3 | 1 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 1 | 3 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.1 |


| Eagleville Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 11 | 4 | 14.9 | 5.4 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 18 | 9 | 24.3 | 12.2 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 27 | 25 | 36.5 | 33.8 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 7 | 16 | 9.5 | 21.6 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 5 | 6 | 6.8 | 8.1 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 3 | 7 | 4.1 | 9.5 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2.7 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1.4 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4.1 |


| Woodland Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students <br> Fall | \#Students <br> Spring | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 2 | 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 | 5 | 6.1 | 7.5 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 7 | 3 | 10.6 | 4.5 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 12 | 6 | 18.2 | 9.0 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 22 | 10 | 33.3 | 14.9 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 9 | 21 | 13.6 | 31.3 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 5 | 7 | 7.6 | 10.4 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 1 | 8 | 1.5 | 11.9 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 3 | 5 | 4.5 | 7.5 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 1 | 2 | 1.5 | 3.0 |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group,
www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## 2011-12 GRADES 5-8 STAR READING DATA*

The following tables represent "Grade Level Equivalent" distribution summary data for students in grades five through eight assessed in the fall at Skyview and Arcola. The number of students who performed at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \#Students column, and the percentage of Methacton's fifth through eighth graders performing at the indicated grade level equivalent is indicated in the \%Fall column. This data will be updated to show growth after the Spring assessments.

| Skyview Upper Elementary School - Grade Five |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 10 | 8 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 22 | 7 | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 45 | 20 | 11.3 | 5.1 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 83 | 54 | 20.9 | 13.8 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 93 | 73 | 23.4 | 18.7 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 58 | 57 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 32 | 48 | 8.1 | 12.3 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 13 | 34 | 3.3 | 8.7 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 7 | 6 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 9 | 12 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 23 | 70 | 5.8 | 17.9 |


| Skyview Upper Elementary School - Grade Six |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 | 8 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 6 | 6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 19 | 9 | 5.1 | 2.5 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 46 | 25 | 12.4 | 6.9 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 70 | 58 | 18.9 | 16.1 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 57 | 32 | 15.4 | 8.9 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 56 | 47 | 15.1 | 13.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 25 | 35 | 6.8 | 9.7 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 14 | 17 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 11 | 17 | 3.0 | 4.7 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 61 | 107 | 16.5 | 29.6 |


| Arcola Intermediate School - Grade Seven |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 6 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 7 | 4 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 39 | 13 | 9.7 | 3.5 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 52 | 26 | 12.9 | 7.0 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 53 | 28 | 13.2 | 7.6 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 61 | 64 | 15.1 | 17.3 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 55 | 45 | 13.6 | 12.2 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 12 | 19 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 19 | 18 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 95 | 151 | 23.6 | 40.9 |


| Arcola Intermediate School - Grade Eight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GE | \#Students | \#Students | \%Fall | \%Spring |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 4 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 12 | 2 | 2.9 | 0.5 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 22 | 7 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 28 | 14 | 6.7 | 3.7 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 24 | 24 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 55 | 46 | 13.2 | 12.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 54 | 33 | 13.0 | 8.6 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 23 | 18 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 21 | 22 | 5.0 | 5.8 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 172 | 216 | 41.3 | 56.5 |

*A grade equivalent (GE) is a score reported on norm-referenced tests that allows educators and parents to compare students based on the performance of other students relative to the school year. Based on a 9-month school year, the score represents a period during the school year, displayed as a number to show a grade and a month. For example, a score of 5.8 represents a performance level typical of fifth-grade students in the eighth month (April) of the school year. It is important to note that grade equivalent scores outside the current grade are common and should be interpreted with caution. For example, a fifth-grade student could receive a grade equivalent score of 7.4. This does not mean the student can perform seventh-grade work - the student would not have been exposed to seventh-grade content, nor would a fifth-grade test contain seventh-grade content. It suggests that a typical seventh grader in the fourth month would have received the same score if seventh graders had taken the fifth-grade test.
(Pearson Educational Measurement Group, www.pearsonedmeasurement.com/research/faq_2d.htm)

## ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS <br> FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

|  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2007-09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methacton School District | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Methacton High School |  |  | Making <br> ProgresS*** <br> SI - II |  |  |
| Corrective Action I* | SI-II**** | SI - II | SI - II |  |  |
| Arcola Intermediate | Warning** | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Arrowhead Elementary | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Audubon Elementary | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Eagleville Elementary | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Woodland Elementary | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |
| Worcester Elementary | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP | Made AYP |

[^0]**Warning - Did not meet AYP measures for the first time in current year. Warning means that the school fell short of the AYP measures but has another year to achieve them. Schools are not subject to consequences. Schools should examine, and where necessary modify, their improvement strategies so they will meet targets next year.
*** Making Progress SI - II indicates that the school is "Making Progress, School Improvement II." This designates that Methacton High School passed AYP for the first year of 2-year probation period. MHS must make AYP again next year to be considered back on track.
**** SI - II indicates that this is the third year that Methacton High School did not meet all AYP measures. In the third year of not meeting AYP, a school is placed in "School Improvement II" status. This means that the school fell short of the AYP targets/goals and there are several things that may happen:

- This school must review its improvement strategies and create a school improvement plan so that it can meet AYP next year.
- This school should seek assistance to help it get back on the right track.
- The school or district will need to offer supplemental educational services, such as tutoring, to eligible students. This school will need to meet AYP for two years in a row to be considered on track to meet the goal of all students attaining proficiency in Reading and Math by the year 2014.

For more information about AYP, visit http://paayp.emetric.net.

## ADVANCED PLACEMENT TESTS

FROM HIGH SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION

| $2011-12$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | 24 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| Biology | 22 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Calculus AB | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Calculus BC | 19 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Chemistry | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Chinese Language and Culture | 23 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Computer Science A | 21 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| English Language \& Composition | 9 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 32 |
| English Literature \& Composition | 7 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 26 |
| Environmental Science | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Music Theory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Physics: Electricity and Magnetism | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Physics: Mechanics | 12 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 39 |
| Psychology | 16 | 21 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 52 |
| Statistics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Studio Art: 2-D Design Portfolio | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Studio Art: 3-D Design Portfolio | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Studio Art Drawing | 21 | 24 | 29 | 6 | 2 | 82 |
| United States Government and Politics | 17 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 65 |
| United States History | $\mathbf{2 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $2010-11$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | 23 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Biology | 19 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| Calculus AB | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Calculus BC | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Calculus BC: AB Subscore | 28 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Chemistry | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Computer Science A | 9 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| English Language \& Composition | 10 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 32 |
| English Literature \& Composition | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Environmental Science | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Physics Mechanics | 18 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 51 |
| Psychology | 19 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 58 |
| Statistics | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Studio Art: 2-D Design Portfolio | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Studio Art: 3-D Design Portfolio | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Studio Art Drawing | 26 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 66 |
| United States Government and Politics | 28 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 58 |
| United States History | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 436 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST

FROM THE COLLEGE BOARD

|  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSD Verbal Mean Score* | 542 | 530 | 536 | 538 | 538 | 532 | 525 |
| PA Verbal Mean Score | 491 | 493 | 492 | 493 | 494 | 493 | 493 |
| Nat'I Verbal Mean Score | 496 | 497 | 501 | 501 | 502 | 502 | 503 |


|  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSD Math Mean Score* | 582 | 572 | 565 | 567 | 567 | 564 | 556 |
| PA Math Mean Score | 501 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 499 | 500 |
| Nat'I Math Mean Score | 514 | 514 | 516 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 518 |


|  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2007-08 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSD Writing Mean Score* | 534 | 522 | 521 | 533 | 521 | 523 | 512 |
| PA Writing Mean Score | 480 | 479 | 480 | 483 | 483 | 482 | 483 |
| Nat'l Writing Mean Score | 488 | 489 | 492 | 493 | 494 | 494 | 497 |

*Standard Deviation: +/- 109-112.

# NATIONAL MERIT SCHOLARSHIP FINALISTS 

FROM HIGH SCHOOL GUIDANCE

| $2011-12$ | $2010-11$ | $2009-10$ | $2008-09$ | $2007-08$ | $2006-07$ | $2005-06$ | $2004-05$ | $2003-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

\# of Students

| 10 | 15 | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

8
6

| 4 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |

NUMBER OF STAFF BY FUNCTION
FROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Professional Staff | 429 | 432 | 452 | 441 |
| \# of Support Staff | 312 | 307 | 341 | 348 |
| \# of Administrators | 29 | 29 | 32 | 31 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ |

*Beginning in 2011-12, data provided using full-time equivalent position count, vs. staff count as in previous years.

PROFESSIONAL STAFF EDUCATION
FROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

|  | \# of staff |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bachelors Degree | 130 |
| Masters Degree | 296 |
| Doctorate Degree | 2 |
| National Board Certification | 14 |

## PROFESSIONAL STAFF YEARS OF SERVICE

FROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

| Years of Service | \# of staff |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | 0 |
| $1-5$ | 72 |
| $6-10$ | 116 |
| $11-15$ | 119 |
| $16-20$ | 61 |
| $21-25$ | 31 |
| $26-30$ | 16 |
| $31-35$ | 11 |
| $36-40$ | 2 |

NUMBER OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF HIRED LAST FIVE YEARS
FROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

|  | Elementary | Middle School | High School | TOTALS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| Female | 36 | 10 | 17 | 63 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |

## PROFESSIONAL STAFF HIRED LAST FIVE YEARS

 EXPERIENCE AND GRADUATE DEGREESFROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

|  | $\#$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Graduate Degree at time of Hire | 30 |
| Previous Teaching Experience | 39 |
| Student taught at MSD | 8 |
| MHS Graduate | 11 |

## PROFESSIONAL STAFF HIRED LAST FIVE YEARS UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE

FROM HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE

| Institution | \% |
| :--- | :---: |
| West Chester | 14 |
| Millersville University | 10 |
| Temple University | 8 |
| Penn State | 8 |
| Kutztown University | 6 |
| Eastern University | 4 |
| Ursinus | 4 |
| Lock Haven University | 3 |
| Other Universities \& Colleges | 43 |

## RESPONSE RATE BY GRADUATION CLASS

| Graduation Class | \# Responses | Net Class Size | \% Returned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2007 | 19 | 441 | $4 \%$ |
| Class of 2011 | 53 | 442 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |

THE QUESTIONS REPORTED BELOW DO NOT EMCOMPASS THE ENTIRE SURVEY. QUESTIONS THAT ALLOWED FREE RESPONSE WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE DATA PORTFOLIO. FOR A COMPLETE REPORT OF THE ALUMNI SURVEYS, PLEASE VISIT THE DISTRICT OFFICE OR CALL 610-489-5020.

## CLASS OF 2011

POST SECONDARY ACTIVITY

| Which best describes what you were doing last September (2010)? | $\%$ of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full-time student | 98 |
| Employed full-time | 0 |
| Employed full-time/part-time student | 0 |
| Employed part-time | 6 |
| Employed part-time/seeking full-time employment | 0 |
| Military | 0 |
| Homemaker | 0 |
| Unemployed | 0 |

## HIGHER EDUCATION

| What type of higher education institutions did you attend last September? | \% of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Technical or trade school | 2 |
| Community college or two-year program at a branch campus | 23 |
| Four-year public college or university (branch or main campus) | 32 |
| Four-year private college or university | 45 |

## CREDITS EARNED/SOPHOMORE STANDING

| Average \# of credits earned by end of freshman year | \% of Respondents | Did you have sophomore standing by the end of freshman year? | \% of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34.82 | 100 | Yes | 81 |
|  |  | No | 19 |

## CHOICE OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION

ALUMNI WERE ASKED HOW IMPORTANT EACH FACTOR WAS IN THEIR DECISION

| My relatives wanted me to go there. | Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| My teacher(s) advised me. | 1.71 |
| I was offered financial assistance. | 1.24 |
| This college has low tuition. | 2.00 |
| High school counselor advised me. | 2.02 |
| A college rep recruited me. | 1.23 |
| I wanted to stay near home. | 1.22 |
| A friend suggested attending. | 1.85 |
| Athletic department recruited me. | 1.46 |
| This college's graduates gain admission to top graduate/professional schools. | 1.32 |
| This college's graduates get good jobs. | 1.92 |
| I was attracted by the affiliation/orientation of the college. | 2.28 |
| This school is nationally ranked. | 1.11 |
| I was not accepted anywhere else. | 1.92 |

Very Important - 3 Somewhat Important - 2 Not Important - 1
EMPLOYMENT
ALUMNI WHO INDICATED FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED THE FOLLOWING
What is your career area?
\# of Respondents

| Craftsman | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Agriculture | 0 |
| Laborer | 0 |
| Management | 1 |
| Professional | 1 |
| Sales | 1 |
| Service | 0 |
| Technician | 0 |
| Other | 5 |


| Do you feel that Methacton has prepared you for your <br> post-secondary work? | \% of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | 98 |
| No | 2 |

## GENERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT THE QUALITY OF MHS EDUCATION

| Thinking back to your high school years... | Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall, my high school experience was positive. | 3.07 |
| I felt included and welcome. | 3.02 |
| My education prepared me for what I am doing now. | 3.08 |
| Students were treated fairly and equally by the teachers. | 2.64 |
| The teachers were interesting, prepared and demanding. | 2.81 |
| Guidance services were supportive and helpful. | 2.68 |
| Discipline, when needed, was administered fairly. | 2.47 |
| The atmosphere was positive and supportive. | 2.21 |
| There were plenty of course offerings. | 3.09 |

Strongly Agree - 4 Agree - 3 Disagree - 2 Strongly Disagree - 1

| Looking back at your high school years, indicate the overall quality of <br> education you received in... | Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| English | 3.89 |
| Health and Physical Education | 3.74 |
| Math | 3.55 |
| Science | 3.74 |
| Social Studies | 3.66 |
| Technology | 3.28 |
| Excellent -5 More than Adequate - 4 Adequate - 3 Less than Adequate - 2 | Poor -1 |

FUTURE PLANS

| Which best describes what you will be doing next year (2012-13)? | \% of respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full-time student | 94 |
| Employed full-time/part-time student | 2 |
| Employed part-time/part-time student | 6 |
| Employed part-time | 4 |
| Employed part-time/seeking full-time employment | 0 |
| Military | 0 |
| Homemaker | 0 |
| Unemployed | 0 |

AREAS OF DIFFICULTY

| Which of the following gives you difficulty in your higher education <br> studies or job? | \# of respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reading for understanding | 36 |
| Reading for speed | 21 |
| Expressing my ideas in writing | 17 |
| Studying | 36 |
| Time management | 42 |
| Punctuation and grammar | 13 |
| Basic math (fractions, long division, etc.) | 9 |
| Advanced math (algebra, calculus, etc.) | 36 |
| Speaking in front of groups | 26 |
| Computer word processing | 0 |
| Computer spread sheets | 6 |
| Science concepts | 25 |
| Research | 17 |
| I am not having any difficulties with academic concepts | 11 |

## CLASS OF 2007

## POST SECONDARY ACTIVITY

|  | \% of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: |
| Entered the workforce directly | 0 |
| Entered the military | 0 |
| Entered a job-training program | 0 |
| Entered a two-year college | 5 |
| Entered a four-year college | 95 |
| Other | 11 |

HIGHEST DEGREE ATTAINED

|  | \% of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: |
| Did not graduate | 18 |
| Associate's | 0 |
| Bachelor's | 53 |
| Master's | 29 |

## EMPLOYMENT

| Attained in Major? | \% of Respondents | Current Employment Status <br> Yes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed full-time | \% of Respondents |  |  |  |  |
| No, still looking | 62 | 25 | Employed part-time | 74 |  |
| No, changed fields | 12 |  | 11 |  |  |


| Career Area - If Employed | \% of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 0 |
| Laborer | 0 |
| Management | 6 |
| Military | 0 |
| Professional | 25 |
| Sales | 6 |
| Service | 12 |
| Technician | 0 |
| Other | 56 |

## PREPARATION

| Do you feel Methacton prepared you for your <br> post-secondary education or work? | \% of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | 84 |
| No | 16 |

## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA Graphical Summary: Performance Levels

Percent of Students Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, Advanced.
Showing all full academic year students.
Number Total


## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA Graphical Summary: Performance Levels

Percent of Students Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, Advanced.
Showing all full academic year students.
Number Total


## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA Graphical Summary: Performance Levels

Percent of Students Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, Advanced.
Showing all full academic year students.
Number
Total


## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA Graphical Summary: Performance Levels

Percent of Students Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, Advanced.
Showing all full academic year students.
Number
Total


## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels <br> Grade 3

|  |  |  |  | Sho | all | academ | ear stud |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | adin |  |  |  |  | Math | ema | ics |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | in Each mance Lev |  |  |  |  |  | in Each mance Lev |  |
| Groups | Year | Scale Score | Tested PL | Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1330 | 128094 | 16.0 | 10.2 | 47.0 | 26.8 | 1330 | 128171 | 7.0 | 13.5 | 36.5 | 43.0 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1410 | 348 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 48.9 | 43.1 | 1400 | 348 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 40.2 | 54.6 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA

Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 3

Showing all full academic year students.


| White | 2012 | 1400 | 256 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 50.4 | 42.2 | 1390 | 256 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 39.8 | 54.7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2012 | 1420 | 189 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 50.3 | 45.5 | 1400 | 189 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 40.7 | 55.0 |
| Male | 2012 | 1390 | 159 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 47.2 | 40.3 | 1400 | 159 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 39.6 | 54.1 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1240 | 40 | 37.5 | 7.5 | 52.5 | 2.5 | 1230 | 40 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1310 | 5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 1340 | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| ED | 2012 | 1360 | 47 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 59.6 | 25.5 | 1300 | 47 | 2.1 | 10.6 | 66.0 | 21.3 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1230 | 28 | 32.1 | 17.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 1210 | 28 | 3.6 | 25.0 | 67.9 | 3.6 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

# PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 4 

Showing all full academic year students.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Show | all full | demic | year stud | ts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | adin |  |  |  |  | Math | ema | ics |  |  |  |  | ienc |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Leve |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Leve |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Le |  |
| Groups | Year | Scale Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1370 | 127239 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 41.2 | 30.2 | 1470 | 127283 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 29.6 | 51.8 | 1450 | 127124 | 6.3 | 12.0 | 37.9 | 43.9 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1480 | 373 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 37.8 | 50.9 | 1570 | 373 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 20.1 | 71.6 | 1560 | 376 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 23.7 | 71.3 |

Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.

- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

# PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 4 

| Groups | Year | Showing all full academic year students. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number <br> Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1370 | 127239 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 41.2 | 30.2 | 1470 | 127283 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 29.6 | 51.8 | 1450 | 127124 | 6.3 | 12.0 | 37.9 | 43.9 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1460 | 4385 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 34.2 | 48.3 | 1610 | 4394 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 71.1 | 1500 | 4486 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 32.4 | 54.6 |
| Black | 2012 | 1230 | 19446 | 30.5 | 23.9 | 34.6 | 11.0 | 1320 | 19456 | 23.0 | 17.9 | 35.0 | 24.1 | 1300 | 19269 | 18.7 | 26.4 | 41.2 | 13.6 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1250 | 11169 | 27.3 | 20.5 | 37.7 | 14.5 | 1360 | 11185 | 17.6 | 15.6 | 36.3 | 30.5 | 1340 | 11341 | 13.9 | 22.9 | 43.8 | 19.3 |
| Am. Indian | 2012 | 1350 | 197 | 13.2 | 18.8 | 42.6 | 25.4 | 1440 | 196 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 32.7 | 45.4 | 1450 | 192 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 40.1 | 44.3 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1330 | 2481 | 16.4 | 18.3 | 41.2 | 24.0 | 1430 | 2478 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 31.7 | 43.3 | 1410 | 2452 | 7.2 | 16.0 | 43.3 | 33.5 |
| White | 2012 | 1410 | 89524 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 43.4 | 35.7 | 1510 | 89534 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 28.0 | 59.8 | 1490 | 89341 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 36.6 | 53.3 |
| Female | 2012 | 1380 | 61680 | 11.3 | 14.4 | 41.2 | 33.1 | 1460 | 61704 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 30.7 | 50.7 | 1440 | 61642 | 5.7 | 12.3 | 40.0 | 42.1 |
| Male | 2012 | 1350 | 65527 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 41.2 | 27.5 | 1480 | 65546 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 28.6 | 52.8 | 1450 | 65439 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 35.9 | 45.6 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1220 | 21620 | 30.1 | 23.4 | 32.7 | 13.8 | 1320 | 21631 | 22.4 | 19.9 | 34.2 | 23.5 | 1340 | 21443 | 17.0 | 22.9 | 40.0 | 20.1 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1110 | 3060 | 52.9 | 24.6 | 19.8 | 2.7 | 1240 | 3079 | 33.8 | 21.6 | 33.0 | 11.7 | 1240 | 3533 | 28.9 | 32.5 | 32.9 | 5.7 |
| ED | 2012 | 1270 | 56467 | 22.8 | 21.3 | 40.0 | 15.9 | 1370 | 56502 | 15.6 | 14.7 | 35.8 | 34.0 | 1370 | 56347 | 11.4 | 19.4 | 43.7 | 25.5 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1280 | 46120 | 22.6 | 21.1 | 39.3 | 17.1 | 1380 | 46149 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 35.0 | 35.9 | 1370 | 45939 | 11.4 | 18.6 | 42.6 | 27.4 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1480 | 373 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 37.8 | 50.9 | 1570 | 373 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 20.1 | 71.6 | 1560 | 376 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 23.7 | 71.3 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1570 | 64 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 18.8 | 76.6 | 1680 | 64 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 87.5 | 1620 | 65 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 81.5 |
| Black | 2012 | 1390 | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 1480 | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 25.0 | 56.3 | 1450 | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 29.4 | 58.8 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1430 | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 22.2 | 1530 | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 1510 | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1450 | 19 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 36.8 | 52.6 | 1470 | 19 | 5.3 | 15.8 | 26.3 | 52.6 | 1500 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| White | 2012 | 1470 | 265 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 40.8 | 46.4 | 1560 | 265 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 70.2 | 1550 | 265 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 23.4 | 71.7 |
| Female | 2012 | 1490 | 196 | 2.0 | 7.7 | 35.7 | 54.6 | 1550 | 196 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 21.4 | 69.9 | 1540 | 197 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 27.9 | 69.0 |
| Male | 2012 | 1470 | 177 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 40.1 | 46.9 | 1590 | 177 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 18.6 | 73.4 | 1570 | 179 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 19.0 | 73.7 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1350 | 78 | 12.8 | 16.7 | 37.2 | 33.3 | 1430 | 78 | 14.1 | 12.8 | 25.6 | 47.4 | 1460 | 78 | 5.1 | 11.5 | 35.9 | 47.4 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1230 | 5 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 1370 | 5 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 1270 | 6 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 |
| ED | 2012 | 1340 | 37 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 40.5 | 35.1 | 1390 | 37 | 8.1 | 21.6 | 32.4 | 37.8 | 1420 | 40 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 52.5 | 37.5 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1280 | 40 | 17.5 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 17.5 | 1380 | 40 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 1390 | 40 | 5.0 | 17.5 | 47.5 | 30.0 |

[^1]
# PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 5 

Showing all full academic year students.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Showi | all full | cademic | ear stud | nts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | adin |  |  |  |  | Math | emat | ics |  |  |  |  | ritin |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Level |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Leve |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Level |  |
| Groups | Year | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1350 | 130835 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 36.8 | 27.7 | 1460 | 130855 | 9.8 | 18.3 | 27.9 | 44.1 | 1330 | 127549 | 1.8 | 34.0 | 62.5 | 1.7 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1430 | 402 | 5.5 | 15.4 | 40.5 | 38.6 | 1580 | 402 | 2.7 | 9.0 | 20.9 | 67.4 | 1480 | 395 | 0.5 | 19.0 | 74.9 | 5.6 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

# PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 5 



[^2]
## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels <br> Grade 6

|  |  |  |  | Sho | all | academ | ear stud |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ading |  |  |  |  | Mat | ema | ics |  |
|  |  | Mean | Number |  | Perfo | in Each mance Lev |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Lev |  |
| Groups | Year | Scale Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale Score | Tested PL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1370 | 132779 | 14.1 | 18.2 | 31.7 | 36.1 | 1480 | 132812 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 27.2 | 48.6 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1510 | 375 | 2.7 | 10.4 | 26.1 | 60.8 | 1580 | 375 | 1.6 | 8.8 | 22.1 | 67.5 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA

Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 6

Showing all full academic year students.


| White | 2012 | 1500 | 280 | 2.5 | 9.6 | 26.8 | 61.1 | 1560 | 280 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 25.4 | 66.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2012 | 1560 | 178 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 24.2 | 69.1 | 1600 | 178 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 67.4 |
| Male | 2012 | 1460 | 197 | 3.6 | 15.2 | 27.9 | 53.3 | 1570 | 197 | 2.5 | 8.6 | 21.3 | 67.5 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1280 | 73 | 9.6 | 37.0 | 31.5 | 21.9 | 1370 | 73 | 8.2 | 27.4 | 31.5 | 32.9 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1100 | 7 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 1270 | 7 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 14.3 |
| ED | 2012 | 1370 | 44 | 2.3 | 29.5 | 40.9 | 27.3 | 1410 | 44 | 2.3 | 20.5 | 43.2 | 34.1 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1180 | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1380 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA <br> Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 7

Showing all full academic year students.

|  |  |  |  | Show | gall | academ | ar stud |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ading |  |  |  |  | Math | ema | ics |  |
|  |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Lev |  | Mean | Number |  |  | in Each mance Le |  |
| Groups | Year | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale <br> Score | Tested PL | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1410 | 133464 | 11.0 | 14.3 | 34.9 | 39.8 | 1490 | 133564 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 53.0 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1520 | 405 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 25.7 | 63.2 | 1590 | 405 | 3.5 | 8.1 | 16.5 | 71.9 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

## PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA

Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels Grade 7

Showing all full academic year students.


| White | 2012 | 1510 | 313 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 28.4 | 60.7 | 1580 | 313 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 16.9 | 70.9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2012 | 1560 | 203 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 25.1 | 67.5 | 1600 | 203 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 18.7 | 71.9 |
| Male | 2012 | 1480 | 202 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 26.2 | 58.9 | 1590 | 202 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 14.4 | 71.8 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1260 | 70 | 24.3 | 22.9 | 38.6 | 14.3 | 1320 | 70 | 18.6 | 37.1 | 27.1 | 17.1 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1140 | 3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 1430 | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| ED | 2012 | 1360 | 34 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 35.3 | 29.4 | 1420 | 34 | 8.8 | 26.5 | 20.6 | 44.1 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level, enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA
Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels
Grade 8
Showing all full academic year students.

| Groups | Year | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean <br> Scale <br> Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1500 | 132606 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 24.8 | 53.4 | 1440 | 132696 | 11.5 | 13.8 | 25.6 | 49.1 | 1320 | 131640 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 35.7 | 23.5 | 1410 | 129035 | 3.2 | 24.1 | 62.2 | 10.5 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1610 | 418 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 17.9 | 71.5 | 1500 | 418 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 20.6 | 63.4 | 1450 | 416 | 6.3 | 11.8 | 32.5 | 49.5 | 1500 | 410 | 1.0 | 18.0 | 60.5 | 20.5 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level,
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA
Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels
Grade 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Show | all | academ | 退 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Re | ading |  |  |  |  | Math | ema | tics |  |  |  |  | ience |  |  |  |  |  | ting |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Perf | in Each mance Leve |  |  |  |  |  | in Each mance Leve |  | Me |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Perfor } \end{array}$ | in Each rmance Leve |  |  |  |  |  | in Each mance Lev |  |
| Groups | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tested } \\ \text { PL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | Scale Score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1500 | 132606 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 24.8 | 53.4 | 1440 | 132696 | 11.5 | 13.8 | 25.6 | 49.1 | 1320 | 131640 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 35.7 | 23.5 | 1410 | 129035 | 3.2 | 24.1 | 62.2 | 10.5 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1640 | 4089 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 15.2 | 72.8 | 1600 | 4089 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 14.5 | 75.8 | 1390 | 4154 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 35.2 | 36.8 | 1540 | 4016 | 1.7 | 13.2 | 63.4 | 21.7 |
| Black | 2012 | 1360 | 19654 | 18.7 | 21.1 | 29.8 | 30.4 | 1310 | 19676 | 26.1 | 21.2 | 28.2 | 24.5 | 1180 | 19293 | 47.2 | 25.9 | 22.1 | 4.8 | 1270 | 18740 | 7.2 | 39.6 | 50.0 | 3.2 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1360 | 10804 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 27.5 | 31.7 | 1330 | 10833 | 22.9 | 20.2 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 1190 | 10735 | 43.5 | 25.8 | 23.4 | 7.3 | 1270 | 10308 | 7.2 | 39.2 | 49.8 | 3.9 |
| Am. Indian | 2012 | 1450 | 190 | 11.1 | 16.3 | 26.8 | 45.8 | 1410 | 190 | 12.1 | 18.4 | 28.4 | 41.1 | 1300 | 189 | 23.3 | 22.8 | 36.0 | 18.0 | 1380 | 186 | 2.7 | 28.5 | 61.8 | 7.0 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1450 | 1950 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 28.7 | 46.2 | 1400 | 1951 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 27.4 | 40.7 | 1280 | 1917 | 25.8 | 24.1 | 33.2 | 17.0 | 1360 | 1884 | 4.1 | 30.0 | 58.7 | 7.2 |
| White | 2012 | 1540 | 95880 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 23.7 | 59.9 | 1470 | 95912 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 25.1 | 55.6 | 1360 | 95297 | 12.9 | 18.6 | 39.9 | 28.7 | 1440 | 93858 | 2.0 | 19.6 | 66.1 | 12.3 |
| Female | 2012 | 1540 | 64257 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 23.2 | 59.9 | 1450 | 64288 | 10.2 | 13.2 | 26.1 | 50.5 | 1310 | 63824 | 20.3 | 22.3 | 37.4 | 19.9 | 1470 | 63076 | 1.5 | 17.7 | 66.4 | 14.4 |
| Male | 2012 | 1460 | 68316 | 12.1 | 14.5 | 26.2 | 47.2 | 1430 | 68368 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 25.0 | 47.9 | 1330 | 67767 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 34.0 | 26.9 | 1350 | 65923 | 4.8 | 30.1 | 58.2 | 6.9 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1250 | 22114 | 29.9 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 14.1 | 1240 | 22140 | 33.2 | 28.2 | 26.1 | 12.5 | 1180 | 21642 | 43.6 | 26.2 | 23.8 | 6.4 | 1170 | 19395 | 14.2 | 48.9 | 35.1 | 1.7 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1150 | 2660 | 49.3 | 27.9 | 18.2 | 4.6 | 1200 | 2682 | 43.1 | 26.4 | 20.5 | 10.0 | 1080 | 2966 | 72.9 | 19.3 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 1120 | 2453 | 17.5 | 53.4 | 28.9 | 0.2 |
| ED | 2012 | 1380 | 53083 | 16.8 | 19.4 | 29.6 | 34.2 | 1340 | 53146 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 28.8 | 31.1 | 1230 | 52412 | 35.9 | 25.3 | 28.7 | 10.1 | 1290 | 50840 | 6.0 | 36.4 | 53.6 | 4.0 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1370 | 25877 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 27.7 | 33.6 | 1330 | 25923 | 24.0 | 19.7 | 26.0 | 30.3 | 1200 | 25395 | 42.7 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 8.7 | 1270 | 24818 | 7.5 | 39.9 | 49.1 | 3.4 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1610 | 418 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 17.9 | 71.5 | 1500 | 418 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 20.6 | 63.4 | 1450 | 416 | 6.3 | 11.8 | 32.5 | 49.5 | 1500 | 410 | 1.0 | 18.0 | 60.5 | 20.5 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1790 | 63 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 92.1 | 1700 | 63 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 88.9 | 1560 | 63 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 15.9 | 73.0 | 1700 | 62 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
| Black | 2012 | 1490 | 15 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 46.7 | 1400 | 15 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 26.7 | 1320 | 15 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 1450 | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 78.6 | 7.1 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1400 | 9 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 1320 | 9 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 1280 | 8 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 1350 | 8 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1640 | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 1460 | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 1490 | 12 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 1580 | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 |
| White | 2012 | 1580 | 319 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 20.4 | 69.9 | 1480 | 319 | 5.3 | 11.6 | 21.3 | 61.8 | 1440 | 318 | 5.7 | 11.3 | 36.8 | 46.2 | 1470 | 314 | 1.3 | 19.1 | 63.7 | 15.9 |
| Female | 2012 | 1670 | 208 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 12.0 | 78.8 | 1510 | 208 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 17.3 | 66.8 | 1450 | 206 | 4.9 | 14.6 | 35.0 | 45.6 | 1600 | 204 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 59.3 | 30.4 |
| Male | 2012 | 1550 | 210 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 23.8 | 64.3 | 1490 | 210 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 23.8 | 60.0 | 1450 | 210 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 30.0 | 53.3 | 1410 | 206 | 1.9 | 25.7 | 61.7 | 10.7 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1350 | 79 | 15.2 | 27.8 | 26.6 | 30.4 | 1280 | 79 | 24.1 | 35.4 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 1270 | 77 | 24.7 | 35.1 | 24.7 | 15.6 | 1270 | 72 | 5.6 | 37.5 | 51.4 | 5.6 |
| LEP | 2012 | 1190 | 5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 1230 | 5 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 1170 | 5 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 1160 | 5 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| ED | 2012 | 1370 | 34 | 14.7 | 20.6 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 1330 | 34 | 26.5 | 17.6 | 20.6 | 35.3 | 1310 | 33 | 15.2 | 33.3 | 30.3 | 21.2 | 1280 | 32 | 3.1 | 43.8 | 46.9 | 6.3 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level,
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
- Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.

Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.

- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA
and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to
2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA
Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels
Grade 11
Showing all full academic year students.

| Groups | Year | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  |  | Writing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean <br> Scale <br> Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  | Mean Scale Score | Number Tested PL | \% in Each Performance Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  | Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1370 | 131569 | 15.8 | 17.4 | 34.0 | 32.8 | 1370 | 131653 | 23.2 | 17.9 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 1250 | 127286 | 16.6 | 41.9 | 27.7 | 13.8 | 1520 | 125095 | 2.5 | 14.6 | 69.3 | 13.6 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1430 | 431 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 30.9 | 44.1 | 1470 | 433 | 19.4 | 11.3 | 25.2 | 44.1 | 1280 | 432 | 8.6 | 38.4 | 28.7 | 24.3 | 1580 | 427 | 1.6 | 9.1 | 73.8 | 15.5 |

- Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level,
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to 2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA.

PSSA + PSSA-M + PASA
Group Summary: Scale Scores and Performance Levels
Grade 11

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Show | all | lacademic | year stud |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Re | ading |  |  |  |  | Math | ema | tics |  |  |  |  | ience |  |  |  |  |  | ting |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Number |  | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Perfor } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { in Each } \\ & \text { rmance Leve } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mean | Number |  | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { Perforr }}$ | $6 \text { in Each }$ |  | Mean | Number |  | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Perfor } \end{array}$ | in Each armance Leve |  | Mean | Number |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { in Each } \\ & \text { rmance Lev } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Groups | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | Tested PL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tested } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| State | 2012 | 1370 | 131569 | 15.8 | 17.4 | 34.0 | 32.8 | 1370 | 131653 | 23.2 | 17.9 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 1250 | 127286 | 16.6 | 41.9 | 27.7 | 13.8 | 1520 | 125095 | 2.5 | 14.6 | 69.3 | 13.6 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1440 | 4267 | 15.2 | 10.7 | 27.4 | 46.7 | 1570 | 4276 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 23.1 | 56.9 | 1270 | 4238 | 17.0 | 32.2 | 26.8 | 24.1 | 1650 | 4127 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 60.6 | 27.6 |
| Black | 2012 | 1220 | 18096 | 32.2 | 25.3 | 29.8 | 12.8 | 1210 | 18118 | 45.2 | 21.8 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 1170 | 16312 | 42.5 | 45.0 | 10.3 | 2.2 | 1380 | 16001 | 5.5 | 28.6 | 60.3 | 5.5 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1220 | 8785 | 33.0 | 23.1 | 29.9 | 14.0 | 1230 | 8797 | 42.3 | 21.9 | 23.9 | 12.0 | 1180 | 8013 | 38.3 | 44.4 | 13.4 | 3.9 | 1390 | 7710 | 5.0 | 26.8 | 62.3 | 5.9 |
| Am. Indian | 2012 | 1320 | 200 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 35.5 | 25.5 | 1300 | 201 | 34.3 | 16.4 | 27.4 | 21.9 | 1220 | 192 | 19.3 | 49.5 | 22.4 | 8.9 | 1480 | 190 | 2.6 | 16.3 | 68.4 | 12.6 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1330 | 1352 | 17.5 | 21.7 | 34.8 | 26.0 | 1300 | 1349 | 31.5 | 19.7 | 29.4 | 19.4 | 1230 | 1291 | 21.8 | 45.5 | 23.2 | 9.5 | 1480 | 1251 | 3.0 | 16.4 | 70.6 | 10.0 |
| White | 2012 | 1400 | 98779 | 11.3 | 15.6 | 35.4 | 37.7 | 1400 | 98822 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 31.7 | 33.2 | 1260 | 97124 | 10.3 | 41.5 | 32.0 | 16.3 | 1550 | 95702 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 71.8 | 15.1 |
| Female | 2012 | 1400 | 64719 | 12.5 | 16.6 | 34.0 | 36.8 | 1370 | 64746 | 22.8 | 18.6 | 30.4 | 28.2 | 1240 | 62623 | 16.1 | 45.7 | 27.0 | 11.2 | 1570 | 62092 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 72.1 | 16.4 |
| Male | 2012 | 1340 | 66775 | 19.0 | 18.1 | 34.0 | 29.0 | 1370 | 66833 | 23.6 | 17.3 | 28.9 | 30.2 | 1250 | 64565 | 17.0 | 38.1 | 28.4 | 16.5 | 1470 | 62908 | 3.7 | 18.8 | 66.6 | 10.9 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1140 | 20010 | 41.6 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 6.3 | 1150 | 20046 | 51.0 | 23.7 | 19.6 | 5.6 | 1190 | 18979 | 38.9 | 42.3 | 15.3 | 3.5 | 1260 | 16806 | 11.2 | 41.1 | 45.2 | 2.5 |
| LEP | 2012 | 990 | 2211 | 74.6 | 16.5 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 1150 | 2223 | 61.0 | 17.3 | 14.2 | 7.5 | 1120 | 2227 | 72.0 | 25.6 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1220 | 1863 | 10.8 | 49.2 | 38.9 | 1.2 |
| ED | 2012 | 1250 | 43796 | 28.2 | 23.9 | 32.1 | 15.9 | 1250 | 43851 | 38.2 | 22.2 | 25.8 | 13.8 | 1200 | 40889 | 30.9 | 46.9 | 17.5 | 4.7 | 1400 | 39684 | 4.7 | 25.0 | 64.1 | 6.3 |
| Title1 | 2012 | 1250 | 19739 | 29.6 | 22.5 | 30.1 | 17.9 | 1250 | 19761 | 41.5 | 19.6 | 23.4 | 15.4 | 1190 | 17604 | 35.8 | 44.1 | 15.2 | 4.9 | 1390 | 17368 | 5.5 | 27.3 | 60.7 | 6.5 |
| Methacton SD | 2012 | 1430 | 431 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 30.9 | 44.1 | 1470 | 433 | 19.4 | 11.3 | 25.2 | 44.1 | 1280 | 432 | 8.6 | 38.4 | 28.7 | 24.3 | 1580 | 427 | 1.6 | 9.1 | 73.8 | 15.5 |
| Asian | 2012 | 1570 | 47 | 4.3 | 10.6 | 21.3 | 63.8 | 1750 | 47 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 83.0 | 1330 | 46 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 52.2 | 1750 | 47 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 66.0 | 31.9 |
| Black | 2012 | 1250 | 14 | 35.7 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 35.7 | 1300 | 14 | 28.6 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 21.4 | 1220 | 14 | 21.4 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 1360 | 14 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 0.0 |
| Hispanic | 2012 | 1180 | 10 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 1260 | 10 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 1200 | 10 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 1410 | 10 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 |
| Am. Indian | 2012 | 1530 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1490 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1220 | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1620 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Multiracial | 2012 | 1340 | 15 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 1380 | 15 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 1270 | 15 | 6.7 | 33.3 | 46.7 | 13.3 | 1490 | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.0 |
| White | 2012 | 1430 | 344 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 33.1 | 43.3 | 1450 | 346 | 19.7 | 11.6 | 27.7 | 41.0 | 1280 | 346 | 8.4 | 39.3 | 30.1 | 22.3 | 1580 | 340 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 74.1 | 14.7 |
| Female | 2012 | 1450 | 221 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 33.0 | 45.2 | 1450 | 221 | 19.9 | 12.7 | 24.0 | 43.4 | 1270 | 222 | 8.1 | 41.9 | 28.4 | 21.6 | 1620 | 220 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 72.3 | 19.5 |
| Male | 2012 | 1410 | 210 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 1480 | 212 | 18.9 | 9.9 | 26.4 | 44.8 | 1290 | 210 | 9.0 | 34.8 | 29.0 | 27.1 | 1540 | 207 | 2.4 | 11.1 | 75.4 | 11.1 |
| IEP | 2012 | 1150 | 58 | 46.6 | 17.2 | 25.9 | 10.3 | 1150 | 59 | 64.4 | 8.5 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 1190 | 60 | 40.0 | 38.3 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 1290 | 56 | 8.9 | 42.9 | 48.2 | 0.0 |
| LEP | 2012 | 900 | 5 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1100 | 5 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1130 | 5 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1140 | 5 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| ED | 2012 | 1250 | 26 | 30.8 | 15.4 | 38.5 | 15.4 | 1260 | 26 | 42.3 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 19.2 | 1220 | 26 | 19.2 | 53.8 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 1440 | 25 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 80.0 | 4.0 |

Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level,
enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.

- Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
- Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA
and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to
2010, the summary calculations included PSSA results and students' reported scores for PASA

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Math 4th Grade


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Std. for PA Acad. Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 10.7 | 3.4 | 5.8 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 1 | 7 | 84 | 267 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.3 | 1.9 | 23.4 | 74.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 4.1 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 6.4 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 3.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 10 | 22 | 253 | 843 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.9 | 2.0 | 22.4 | 74.7 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Reading 4th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | cicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Std. for | cad. Growth | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 0.5 | 4.9 | -1.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 2 | 36 | 179 | 135 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.6 | 10.2 | 50.9 | 38.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 15.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 1.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 24 | 88 | 559 | 446 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.1 | 7.9 | 50.0 | 39.9 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject:
Grade: 5th Grade


|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Std. for | cad. Growth | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | -1.3 | -1.1 | -3.7 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 2 | 26 | 109 | 236 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.5 | 7.0 | 29.2 | 63.3 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 3.7 | -1.7 | 0.8 | -2.6 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 12 | 66 | 272 | 766 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 1.1 | 5.9 | 24.4 | 68.6 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Reading 5th Grade


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Std. for PA Acad. Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 2.8 | 0.1 | -3.8 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 3 | 44 | 192 | 119 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.8 | 12.3 | 53.6 | 33.2 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | -3.5 | 0.9 | -2.5 | -7.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 34 | 108 | 544 | 432 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 3.0 | 9.7 | 48.7 | 38.6 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject:
Grade: 6th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Std. for | cad. Growth | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 4.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 1 | 11 | 76 | 261 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.3 | 3.2 | 21.8 | 74.8 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | -3.7 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 21 | 42 | 180 | 903 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 1.8 | 3.7 | 15.7 | 78.8 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012
Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Reading 6th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Std. for PA Acad. Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 1.9 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 4 | 35 | 104 | 200 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 1.2 | 10.2 | 30.3 | 58.3 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | -0.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 48 | 82 | 347 | 678 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 4.2 | 7.1 | 30.0 | 58.7 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject:
Grade:

PSSA
Math
7th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Std. for | cad. Growth | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth |  | 1.2 | -1.3 | -1.5 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 2 | 19 | 46 | 313 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.5 | 5.0 | 12.1 | 82.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 6.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 24 | 48 | 201 | 896 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.1 | 4.1 | 17.2 | 76.6 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Reading 7th Grade


|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Std. for | cad. Growth | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth | -11.4 | -1.0 | 1.5 | -6.8 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 6.1 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 7 | 15 | 107 | 249 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 1.9 | 4.0 | 28.3 | 65.9 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 1.6 | 5.6 | 3.8 | -1.5 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 35 | 71 | 377 | 698 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 3.0 | 6.0 | 31.9 | 59.1 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject:
Grade: 8th Grade


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Std. for PA Acad. Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth | 4.9 | 1.9 | -1.7 | -9.0 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 14 | 26 | 79 | 283 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 3.5 | 6.5 | 19.7 | 70.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 5.2 | 5.7 | 3.8 | -1.1 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 43 | 75 | 312 | 761 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 3.6 | 6.3 | 26.2 | 63.9 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District:
Year:

Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Reading 8th Grade


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Std. for PA Acad. Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2012 | Growth | -3.3 | 2.0 | 4.1 | -1.7 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 3.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 5 | 20 | 60 | 314 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 1.3 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 78.7 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 8.5 | 5.9 | 4.6 | -4.1 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 34 | 55 | 232 | 884 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.8 | 4.6 | 19.3 | 73.4 |

Growth is defined as average gain

Report:
District:
Year:

District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE) Methacton School District 2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Science 8th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Science | 2012 | Growth | 23.4 | 16.1 | 49.9 | 56.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 18.8 | 15.1 | 7.0 | 9.1 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 22 | 41 | 189 | 151 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 5.5 | 10.2 | 46.9 | 37.5 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 26.0 | 29.7 | 59.0 | 54.9 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 15.4 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 7.2 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 46 | 114 | 352 | 300 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 5.7 | 14.0 | 43.3 | 36.9 |

Growth is defined as average PSSA score minus average predicted PSSA score
Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District: Methacton School District

Test:
PSSA
Grades:


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | 2012 | Growth | -18.2 | -27.1 | 36.8 | 128.6 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 14.8 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 15.8 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 38 | 54 | 148 | 132 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 10.2 | 14.5 | 39.8 | 35.5 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | -11.9 | -22.1 | 56.6 | 124.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 17.8 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 9.4 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 49 | 99 | 289 | 342 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 6.3 | 12.7 | 37.1 | 43.9 |

Growth is defined as average PSSA score minus average predicted PSSA score

Report: District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
District: Methacton School District
Year:

Test:
Subject: Grades:

PSSA
Reading
9-11


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | 2012 | Growth | -11.8 | -99.1 | -6.2 | 4.0 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 28.9 | 23.2 | 11.7 | 11.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 19 | 37 | 155 | 159 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 5.1 | 10.0 | 41.9 | 43.0 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | -16.0 | 11.9 | -10.2 | 12.2 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 28.8 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 31 | 66 | 289 | 397 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 4.0 | 8.4 | 36.9 | 50.7 |

Growth is defined as average PSSA score minus average predicted PSSA score

Report:
District:
Year:

District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE) Methacton School District
2012

Test:
Subject:
Grade:

PSSA
Science
11th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Science | 2012 | Growth | 3.1 | -8.1 | -2.8 | -0.9 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 13.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.8 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 17 | 140 | 132 | 88 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 4.5 | 37.1 | 35.0 | 23.3 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth | 13.9 | 12.3 | 20.7 | 14.9 |
|  |  | Standard Error | 11.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 4.6 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 22 | 324 | 280 | 159 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.8 | 41.3 | 35.7 | 20.3 |

Growth is defined as average PSSA score minus average predicted PSSA score

Report:
District:
Year:

District Performance Diagnostic (SINGLE GRADE)
Methacton School District
2012

Test:
Subject: Grade:

PSSA
Writing
11th Grade

$\square 2012 \square$ Previous Years — Std. for PA Acad. Growth — Standard Error

|  |  |  |  | dicted Perform | Level Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Writing | 2012 | Growth |  | -40.0 | 5.1 | 0.4 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 45.4 | 10.7 | 43.0 |
|  |  | \# of Students |  | 14 | 321 | 43 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 3.7 | 84.9 | 11.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth |  | -133.6 | 10.4 | 74.4 |
|  |  | Standard Error |  | 48.8 | 8.5 | 30.2 |
|  |  | \# of Students |  | 13 | 684 | 89 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 1.7 | 87.0 | 11.3 |

Growth is defined as average PSSA score minus average predicted PSSA score

## SCATTERPLOT REPORT* - METHACTON SCHOOL DISTRICT GROWTH IN MATH

FROM PVAAS - PENNSYLVANIA'S VALUE ADDED ASSESSMENT SYSTEM
2011-12 GROWTH IN MATH ACROSS GRADES 4-8

*Each point on the scatterplot represents one district, charter school, Comprehensive Career and Technology Center, or public school in Pennsylvania. For all tests, a Growth Index significantly above zero indicates progress greater than the standard for PA Academic Growth. The ideal position for a district to appear in this scatterplot is the upper-right quadrant, which represents both positive achievement (scores above Proficient) and positive growth (students attained greater than one year of growth in one academic year).

## SCATTERPLOT REPORT* - METHACTON SCHOOL DISTRICT GROWTH IN READING

FROM PVAAS - PENNSYLVANIA'S VALUE ADDED ASSESSMENT SYSTEM
2011-12 GROWTH IN READING ACROSS GRADES 4-8

*Each point on the scatterplot represents one district, charter school, Comprehensive Career and Technology Center, or public school in Pennsylvania. For all tests, a Growth Index significantly above zero indicates progress greater than the standard for PA Academic Growth. The ideal position for a district to appear in this scatterplot is the upper-right quadrant, which represents both positive achievement (scores above Proficient) and positive growth (students attained greater than one year of growth in one academic year).

## SCATTERPLOT REPORT* - METHACTON SCHOOL DISTRICT GROWTH IN MATH

FROM PVAAS - PENNSYLVANIA'S VALUE ADDED ASSESSMENT SYSTEM

## 2011-12 GROWTH IN MATH ACROSS GRADES 9-11


*Each point on the scatterplot represents one district, charter school, Comprehensive Career and Technology Center, or public school in Pennsylvania. For all tests, a Growth Index significantly above zero indicates progress greater than the standard for PA Academic Growth. The ideal position for a district to appear in this scatterplot is the upper-right quadrant, which represents both positive achievement (scores above Proficient) and positive growth (students attained greater than one year of growth in one academic year).
*= Methacton

## SCATTERPLOT REPORT* - METHACTON SCHOOL DISTRICT GROWTH IN READING

FROM PVAAS - PENNSYLVANIA'S VALUE ADDED ASSESSMENT SYSTEM
2011-12 GROWTH IN READING ACROSS GRADES 9-11

*Each point on the scatterplot represents one district, charter school, Comprehensive Career and Technology Center, or public school in Pennsylvania. For all tests, a Growth Index significantly above zero indicates progress greater than the standard for PA Academic Growth. The ideal position for a district to appear in this scatterplot is the upper-right quadrant, which represents both positive achievement (scores above Proficient) and positive growth (students attained greater than one year of growth in one academic year). * $=$ Methacton


[^0]:    * Corrective Action I - Changes listed SI-II are still in effect. Schools are eligible for various levels of technical assistance and are subject to escalating consequences (e.g., changes in curriculum, leadership, professional development).

[^1]:    Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level,
    enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
    Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.

    - Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.

    Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

[^2]:    - Summary scores do not include students who were home schooled, excluded from score aggregation at school or district level
    enrolled in the school or district after October 1, LEP students less than one year in school, or students who did not attempt.
    Number Tested PL includes PASA students beginning in 2007 and PSSA-M students beginning in 2010.
    - Number Tested and Mean Scale Score are calculated using PSSA scores only.
    - Number Tested PL and \% in each Performance Level are calculated using PSSA, PSSA-M, and PASA scores.

    Beginning in 2010: In addition to the PSSA results, the summary calculations also include students' earned scores from the PASA and PSSA-M assessments. This may cause variation between summary calculations in Data Interaction and AYP Results. Prior to

